



#### AEA Carbon Footprint Methodology

AEA conference

August 2023



BRUSSELS • BOGOTA • WASHINGTON DC PARIS • SANTIAGO • BEIJING

# Scope of the AEA CFP methodology is well-to-gate

Emissions from the construction of assets and downstream emissions are excluded

**Included emissions** in the well-to-gate product system:

- Extraction of raw materials used for ammonia production
- All production stages needed to produce liquid ammonia at atmospheric pressure



#### **Product system**

**Excluded emissions** in the well-to-gate product system:

- Downstream GHG emissions from subsequent refrigeration, transportation, and use of ammonia
- GHG emissions from the construction of assets in the product system



### Certification of inputs is required

AEA methodology is focused on the ammonia production and relevant inputs must be certified

Operators must supply data and documentation for activities within their facility, as well as for instances involving external inputs like electricity, hydrogen, biomethane or Responsibly Sourced Gas.



# The principle of core energy inputs

Ammonia CFP can be calculated based on the principle of core energy inputs

#### Core energy input:

Hydrogen /ammonia produced from different core energy sources:

Energy input contributing to the energy content of the ammonia

The share of renewable hydrogen is equal to share of renewable core energy input in total core energy input.



- Product not 100% renewable because heat is required to create the energy content of the product and heat is produced from natural gas
- Only a share of hydrogen is considered renewable, proportionally to the share of renewable input



- Product not 100% renewable because electricity is not always sourced from fully renewable sources.
- The share of renewable hydrogen is proportional to the share of consumed electricity that is using a PPA



### Ammonia CFP depends on the core energy input

CFP calculation method for co-processing can yield individual results for co-products or an average



8/15/2023

### Allocating emissions to co-products of methane pyrolysis

Literature value assumptions for CFP calculation



Inputs methane pyrolysis					
Type of input	Parameter	Value	Unit		
Natural gas feedstock	Consumption	233	MJ/kg H2		
	Upstr. emissions	9.7	g CO2e/MJ		
NG for bed reactor	Consumption	9.5	kWh/kg H2		
	Emission factor	65.9	g CO2e/MJ		
Elec for plasma reactor	Consumption	27	kWh/kg H2		
	Emission factor	0.0	g CO2e/MJ		

Outputs methane pyrolysis					
Type of output	Parameter	Value	Unit		
Hydrogen	Mass	1.0	kg		
	Energy content	120	MJ/kg		
	Economic value	2.0	USD/kg		
Carbon	Mass	3.3	kg		
	Energy content	30.2	MJ/kg		
	Economic value	1.5	USD/kg		

Inputs & Outputs Ammonia synthesis					
Type of input	Parameter	Value	Unit		
Hydrogen	Consumption	0.17	kg H2/kg NH3		
Nitrogen	Consumption	0.83	kg N2/kg NH3		
	Emission factor	0.0	g CO2e/kg		
Type of output					
Ammonia	Quantity	1.0	kg		



### Impact of different allocation methods on CFP of hydrogen

Significantly varying results for different methods of allocation for methane pyrolysis



# Assignment of emissions reduction for CCU and CCS

AEA methodology addresses complex allocation situations





#### Accounting for CCS – Different approaches may be used

Plant division allows to assign the emissions reduction from CO<sub>2</sub> storage to the ammonia

#### Full capture of process CO<sub>2</sub> assumed

#### Straightforward LCA

#### Dynamic process splitting



Since both products are derived from the same natural gas processing step, It makes sense that the total CFP of both products decrease in proportion to the amount of avoided  $CO_2$  emissions in that step thanks to CCS.

However, dynamic division is generally accepted, e.g. for quantifying impact on product CFP of an emissions reduction measure sized to address only a fraction of output

