

Realising ammonia bunkering:

Insights from ammonia transfers in the Pilbara

Vibin CHANDRABOSE Director of Projects and lead for GCMD's "Enabling ammonia as a marine fuel" initiative Global Centre for Maritime Decarbonisation (GCMD)



Ammonia transfers between the Green Pioneer and the Navigator Global at the outer anchorage of Port Dampier 4,000 m³ (2,700 MT) of liquid ammonia was transferred at 700-800 m³/h from the Green Pioneer to the Navigator Global and back





Our goal is to help international shipping decarbonise along a trajectory that meets or exceeds key targets, including reaching net zero around 2050.

We do this by:

| Shaping standards | Financing projects | Deploying solutions | Fostering collaboration |
|--|--|---|--|
| We share learnings from our projects at relevant national and international technical committee meetings to assist and accelerate the drafting of guidelines and standards. | We co-fund projects, especially ones that lack immediate commercial viability or ones that may not lead to commercial returns, so learnings may lower the barrier for adoption. | We rally partners and execute projects to demonstrate the viability of decarbonisation solutions. | We provide neutral ground for stakeholders across the value chain to convene, ensuring a diversity of inputs to scope and operationalise pilots. |



Partners support our mission





IMO's adopts landmark measure to price GHG emissions

Clearest signal yet: Switch fuels to minimise total operations cost





Mobilised ecosystem for ammonia bunkering safety study

With DNV, Surbana Jurong, Singapore Maritime Academy; in consultation with MPA



Singapore ammonia bunker demand

- Projected to take off in mid-2030's; around 2
 MTPA by 2035
- + Can be supported by one **15,000 cbm** bunker vessel

Operational and location risks

- + 400 operational and locational risks identified across 4 concept designs and 3 locations
- + All considered **low** or **mitigable**

Industry development and training

- Guidebook incorporated into SMA's curriculum
- + Learnings incorporated in SGMF's interim bunkering guidelines
- + Collaborated with OSRL to develop emergency response plans

8 regulatory agencies consulted

Covering the following areas:

- + Fire and chemical safety
- Major hazards assessment
- + Industrial land utilisation
- National climate change





Potential first mover in green ammonia

Bulk carriers delivering iron ore from point to point between Western Australia-Northeast Asia



A Potential Port for Ammonia

- + 5% of all tradeable ammonia are currently supplied through Dampier
- + Start of the busiest iron ore route

+ About **7,700** vessel calls in the Pilbara Ports for 2023

 Potential demand of 1-1.5 million tonnes of bunker by 2035

Source: Kpler, 11 Oct 2024 Vessel traffic for iron-ore carrying capesize and newcastle max bulk carriers

Goal of our pilot in Pilbara

To showcase **lightering** and simulate **bunkering** operations before ammonia-fuelled vessels are available

Four areas of focus:

Global Centre for







Five-day operations at the anchorage of Port Dampier

4,000 cbm (2,700 tonnes) of liquid ammonia was transferred at 700-800 cbm/h from the Green Pioneer to the Navigator Global and back



















Transfer system arrangement

Equipped with emergency shutdown (ESD) and emergency release couplings (ERC)



Leak test







Ammonia transfer #1

From the Green Pioneer to the Navigator Global

- + 4,000 m³ of liquid ammonia transferred
- + Initial flow rate: 100 m³/hr (15 min)
- + Maximum flow rate: 700 m³/hr
- + The Green Pioneer maintained positive tank pressure using its cargo vaporiser.
- Vapour generated during transfer primarily processed by Navigator Global's reliquefaction plant





Ammonia transfer #2

From the Navigator Global to the Green Pioneer

- + 4,000 m³ liquid ammonia transferred back to the *Green Pioneer*
- Initial flow rate: 100 m³/hr (15 min) to cool down lines and monitor any abnormal conditions
- + Maximum flow rate: 700 m³/hr
- + The Navigator Global maintained positive tank pressure using its cargo vaporizer.
- Vapour generated during transfer primarily processed by the Green Pioneer's reliquefaction plant and/ or sent back to the Navigator Global



Hot gassing

- Warm ammonia at 40°C is introduced into the liquid line.
- Fire hose from each vessel supplied a continuous seawater spray at ambient temperature onto the 'U' bend section of the liquid line.
- This process continued for ~ two hours until the lines warmed to ambient temperature.
- As the vapour return line did not contain liquid ammonia, hot gassing was not required for this line.



Global Centre for

01 Operational procedures

Nitrogen purging

- + Nitrogen cylinders were connected to the Navigator Global's manifold vent.
- + First blow through was directed to the Green Pioneer's cargo tank
- Lines were purged for ~10 min; nitrogen released into manifold drip tray filled with water on the Green Pioneer
- Before disconnection, gas measurements ensured an ammonia concentration below 300 ppm
- Ammonia detector recorded a concentration of 7 ppm; well within the safety limits





Personal Protection Equipment (PPE): Balancing safety with practicality



✓ 5 ppm: All crew members equipped with personal ammonia monitors, set to detect levels as low as 5 ppm.

✓ 25 ppm: Alarm goes off; crew would don gas masks and evacuate to the accommodation block. <300 ppm: Gas measurement taken to ensure < 300 ppm before disconnection.

*Pilbara trial: 7 ppm after hot-gassing and purging, well within safety limits.

Emergency shutdown devices automatically halt transfer and isolate manifold when ammonia concentrations exceed 250 ppm.

IMO draft interim guidelines and SGMF bunkering guidelines for ammonia detection thresholds

02 Safety protocols

25 ppm for enclosed spaces, 110 ppm for secondary containments, 220 ppm for alarms and shutdowns



Safety studies overview





Feasibility: Response motions

Roll motions analysis overview

Historical metocean data was used to run the roll motions analysis



Operation limits

- + Bridge wings will collide > 4° roll
- + Roll motions <4° based on metocean data
- + Critical roll motions occur at 0.88 m wave height with a peak period between 9 to 11 sec.

Roll motions for different loading conditions



03 Safety and risk assessments

Feasibility: Mooring configuration





Global Centre for



Risk assessments

No high-risk items across risk nodes identified

Risk nodes

- + Hazard Identification (HAZID) was conducted from approach of vessel to mooring, transfer and unmooring
- + Hazard and Operability (HAZOP) was carried out for the transfer process from pressure testing to posttransfer purging

Risk summary

| Risk ranking | Risks identified (HAZID) | Risks identified (HAZOP) |
|--------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| High | 0 | 0 |
| Medium | 15 | 8 |
| Low | 8 | 3 |

Key recommendations for trials

- + No simultaneous operations (SIMOPS)
- + Tugs for mooring/ unmooring
- + Standby Anchor Handling Tug Supply (AHTS)
 - To assist with equipment transfer
 - To assist with perimeter patrol
 - To standby with fire fighting capability
- + Conduct drills closer to operation date



```
1, 5, 10 m/s
```

^(a)Actual ESD actuation in 30 sec



Maximum plume length is less than 1 NM



+ AEGL-2 (220 ppm) for reference



Plume dispersion behaviour

At AEGL-3 concentration (1,600 ppm), and variable wind speeds



03 Safety and risk assessments



Plume dispersion behaviour

At AEGL-2 concentration (200 ppm), and variable wind speeds



| Dispersion duration | < 30 min |
|---------------------|--------------------------|
| Max length | >2,000m in 280s (~5 min) |
| Max height | 60 m |
| Max width | 100 m |

| Progression-dispersion rate | ~1.3 m/s |
|-----------------------------|----------|
| Dispersion duration | >120 min |
| Max length | ~1,700 |
| Max height | >140 m |
| Max width | 100 m |

Key recommendations from CFD analysis

Plume exposu

Plume exposure & crew protection

- + AEGL-3 plume may persist for 30–60 minutes, at low-wind conditions.
- + Crew should remain inside accommodation block with ventilation set to recirculation and positive pressure maintained.

Deck safety measures



- + Designate safe zones on deck for higherseverity incidents
- + Align vessel with prevailing winds to aid plume dispersion
- + Minimise deck activity during transfer—only essential personnel allowed
- + Provide HAZMAT suits, SCBA, and gas masks at designated deck locations

03

Emergency equipment readiness

+ Verify emergency showers and eye wash stations are functional before operations.

Response & evacuation planning





- Trained crew in full PPE to perform mitigative actions if safe
- Update ERP with staged evacuation timelines based on plume duration
- Define clear re-entry criteria for affected areas



Emergency response procedures were developed

Tailored to ammonia's physical characteristics

Escalating levels of severity



Ammonia's physical characteristics

- + Harder to vapourise (needs 2.5 times more heat than LNG)
- + Harder to ignite in open environments
- Can be recondensed using shields and covers (Required by IMO interim guidelines for bunker stations)

ERP primary objectives (SGMF's recommendations)

- Minimise liquid and vapour ammonia release
- Contain any released liquid
- Minimise vaporisation of released liquid
- Minimise crew exposure to released ammonia

Resources required according to severity release Tier 2 Tier 3

Required by IMO

Tier 1

- + Shipboard monitoring
- + Emergency shutdown devices
- Relevant PPEs
- + FiFi systems
- + Shipboard Marine Pollution Emergency Plan (SMPEP) kits

- Stability support
- Lightering support
- + Towing and recovery
- + Salvage and emergency response

Additional elements incorporated in our trial:

- + Optical Gas Imaging camera onboard
- + Standby vessel capable of firefighting and towing
- Standby certified incident handler for guidance on local resources

04 Emergency response protocols

Future trials

2

3

4

5



Operational adjustments to further represent bunkering In-line quantity measurement using mass flow meter Vapour return In-line intermittent or continuous sampling Emergency release coupling 6 Dry disconnect coupling for hose disconnection Higher freeboard difference using VLGC as a receiving vessel Liquid ammonia Receiving vessel reliquefaction plant is turned off to allow vapour return to supply vessel Supply vessel **Receiving vessel**

Informing future ABV designs with pilot learnings



All images used are for illustrative purposes only. Individual features, as well as sizes and fittings, are not drawn to scale and will vary.

Global Centre for



Enabling port readiness for ammonia bunkering



Thank you!



Scan the QR code to download GCMD reports and papers











TRACKING THE PROPENSITY OF BIOFUELS DEGRADATION ACROSS THE MARITIME

SUPPLY CHAIN

GCMD

JUNE 2024

MARTINE DEC







Rapid forensic analysis of FAME-based biofuels: Potential use of its Imgerprint as a traud detection tool



Global Centre for

MARITIME DECARBONISATION





Voyaging toward a greener future: Insights from the GCMD-BCG Global Maritime Decarbonization Survey





Safety and Operational Guidelines for Piloting Ammonia Bunkering

in Singapore

SJ STAR SP SMA



- 8 Robinson Road #06-01 | Singapore 048544
- <u>www.gcformd.org</u>



0

projects@gcformd.org





